

# STA 732: Homework 10

April 1, 2026

Please hand in solutions for Problems 1 and 2, as well as any 2 of Problems 3, 4, and 5 (so select 2 out of 3 for the last three problems).

## Problem 1: DQM models have $\sqrt{n}$ -uniform contraction rates

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_{\theta_0}$  where  $\{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ ,  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , is DQM at  $\theta_0$  with Fisher information  $I(\theta_0) > 0$ .

- (a) Show that for  $\delta$  small,  $\rho(P_{\theta_0}, P_{\theta_0+\delta}) = 1 - \frac{1}{8}I(\theta_0)\delta^2 + o(\delta^2)$ .
- (b) Conclude that  $\rho(P_{\theta_0}^n, P_{\theta_0+h/\sqrt{n}}^n) \rightarrow e^{-h^2I(\theta_0)/8}$  for every fixed  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (c) Apply the constraint risk inequality of Chapter 2 with  $f = \theta_0$  and  $g = \theta_0 + h/\sqrt{n}$  to show that for any estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \{\theta_0, \theta_0+h/\sqrt{n}\}} n \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2] \geq \frac{h^2}{4} e^{-h^2I(\theta_0)/4}.$$

- (d) Optimize over  $h$  to conclude that any estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n = \hat{\theta}_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  satisfies

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} n \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2] \geq \frac{1}{eI(\theta_0)}.$$

where  $\Theta_0$  is any neighborhood of  $\theta_0$ .

- (e) Explain why part (d) suggests that the rescaled quantity  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)$  and the local experiments  $\{P_{\theta_0+h/\sqrt{n}}^n : h \in \mathbb{R}\}$  are the natural objects for studying asymptotic optimality of estimators at  $\theta_0$ .

## Problem 2: Super-efficiency comes at a price

Consider the normal location model in which we observe  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\theta, 1)$  and we are interested in estimating the parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  in squared error loss. Consider the Hodges estimator

$$S_n = \begin{cases} \bar{X}_n & \text{if } |\bar{X}_n| \geq n^{-1/4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } |\bar{X}_n| < n^{-1/4}. \end{cases}$$

Write  $R_n(\theta)$  for the risk corresponding to the estimator:  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[(S_n - \theta)^2]$ .

- (a) Show that for every fixed  $\theta \neq 0$ ,  $\sqrt{n}(S_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$ .
- (b) Show that  $r_n := n R_n(0) \leq e^{-c\sqrt{n}}$  for some constant  $c > 0$  and  $n$  large.
- (c) Let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  be *any* estimator with  $n \mathbb{E}_0[\hat{\theta}_n^2] = r_n \rightarrow 0$ . Use the constraint risk inequality via the likelihood ratio (Lemma 2.57) to show that for any sequence  $h_n$  (possibly depending on  $n$ ),

$$\sqrt{n \mathbb{E}_{h_n/\sqrt{n}}[(\hat{\theta}_n - h_n/\sqrt{n})^2]} \geq |h_n| - \sqrt{r_n} e^{h_n^2/2}.$$

(Hint: First show that  $\mathbb{E}_0[(dP_{h/\sqrt{n}}^n/dP_0^n)^2] = e^{h^2}$ .)

- (d) Conclude that if  $h_n \rightarrow \infty$  with  $h_n^2 \leq (1 - \epsilon) \log(1/r_n)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then

$$n \mathbb{E}_{h_n/\sqrt{n}}[(\hat{\theta}_n - h_n/\sqrt{n})^2] \gtrsim (1 - o(1)) |h_n|^2 \rightarrow \infty$$

- (e) For the Hodges estimator  $S_n$ , use the bound from part (b) to show that  $n R_n(\theta_n) \rightarrow \infty$  for every sequence  $\theta_n = h_n/\sqrt{n}$  with  $h_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $h_n = o(n^{1/4})$ .
- (f) Compare to the MLE estimator  $-\bar{X}_n$  – which satisfies  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \theta) \sim N(0, 1)$  for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . In light of parts (a) and (b), the Hodges estimator appears to dominate the MLE at every fixed  $\theta$ . Explain why this does not contradict your findings in part (e).

### Problem 3: Illustrating the Bernstein-von Mises theorem

Consider the Laplace location model  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Laplace}(\theta, 1)$  with density  $f_\theta(y) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|y-\theta|}$ .

- (a) Show that the model is DQM at every  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  with score (a version of)  $S_{\theta_0}(y) = \text{sign}(y - \theta_0)$  and Fisher information  $I(\theta_0) = 1$ .
- (b) Choose a voluntary value  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and a proper prior  $\Pi$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  whose Lebesgue density is continuous and positive at  $\theta_0$ . For a single simulated dataset  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Laplace}(\theta_0, 1)$  and each  $n \in \{10, 30, 100, 300\}$ , plot on the same axes:
- (i) the posterior density of  $\theta$  (use whichever sampling method you prefer),
  - (ii) the density of the ‘oracle posterior’  $N(\tilde{\theta}_n, n^{-1})$ , where

$$\tilde{\theta}_n = \theta_0 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{sign}(Y_i - \theta_0)$$

is the *efficient oracle estimator*.

- (iii) vertical lines at the posterior mean, the efficient oracle estimator, and at  $\theta_0$ .
- (c) Report your findings. In particular, comment on:
- the quality of the Gaussian ‘oracle posterior’ comparison as  $n$  grows;
  - the shape of the posterior for small  $n$  and why it is non-Gaussian;
  - where the posterior mean falls relative to  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  and  $\theta_0$ ;
  - whether (and try to think of a reason why) the posterior centers at  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  rather than the unknown  $\theta_0$ .

## Problem 4: Frequentist coverage of symmetric credible intervals

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_{\theta_0}$  for a scalar parameter  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , and suppose that a Bernstein-von Mises theorem holds:

$$d_{\text{TV}}\left(\Pi\left(\sqrt{n}(\theta - \theta_0) \in \cdot \mid X^{(n)}\right), N\left(\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0), I(\theta_0)^{-1}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} 0,$$

where  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  is the *efficient oracle estimator*

$$\tilde{\theta}_n = \theta_0 + I(\theta_0)^{-1} \Delta_{n, \theta_0} / \sqrt{n}.$$

$\Delta_{n, \theta_0} = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n S_{\theta_0}(X_i)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} S_{\theta_0}(X_i) = 0$  and  $I(\theta_0) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[S_{\theta_0}(X_1)^2] \in (0, \infty)$ .

Let  $L_n \equiv L_n(X^{(n)})$  and  $U_n \equiv U_n(X^{(n)})$  be such that  $[L_n, U_n]$  is a symmetric  $(1 - \alpha)$  posterior credible interval, e.g.

$$\Pi(\theta < L_n \mid X^{(n)}) = \Pi(\theta > U_n \mid X^{(n)}) = \alpha/2.$$

- (a) (*Quantile convergence from TV convergence.*) Let  $F_n(\cdot \mid X^{(n)})$  denote the posterior distribution function of  $\theta$  and let  $\Phi_n$  denote the distribution function of the BvM approximation  $N(\tilde{\theta}_n, (nI(\theta_0))^{-1})$ . Show that the convergence in total variation implies

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(y \mid X^{(n)}) - \Phi_n(y)| \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} 0.$$

Conclude, using the results of Exercises 6.3 and 6.4 (or by a direct argument), that for every  $p \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} \left( F_n^{-1}(p \mid X^{(n)}) - \Phi_n^{-1}(p) \right) \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} 0.$$

- (b) (*Oracle interval.*) Let  $\tilde{L}_n = \tilde{\theta}_n + z_{\alpha/2}(nI(\theta_0))^{-1/2}$  and  $\tilde{U}_n = \tilde{\theta}_n - z_{\alpha/2}(nI(\theta_0))^{-1/2}$  be the symmetric  $(1 - \alpha)$  interval from the BvM normal approximation. Show that

$$P_{\theta_0}(\theta_0 \in [\tilde{L}_n, \tilde{U}_n]) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha.$$

(*Hint:* Express  $\theta_0 \in [\tilde{L}_n, \tilde{U}_n]$  in terms of  $\Delta_{n, \theta_0}$  and apply the central limit theorem.)

- (c) (*Coverage of the credible interval.*) Combine parts (a) and (b) to show that

$$P_{\theta_0}(\theta_0 \in [L_n, U_n]) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha.$$

(*Hint:* Show that the events  $\{\theta_0 \in [L_n, U_n]\}$  and  $\{\theta_0 \in [\tilde{L}_n, \tilde{U}_n]\}$  differ on a set whose probability vanishes.)

## Problem 5: Bayes estimators are asymptotically efficient under a Bernstein-von Mises theorem

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_{\theta}$  for a model that is DQM at a parameter value  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose a BvM theorem holds; the rescaled posterior measure  $\Pi_n^*(\cdot) := \Pi(\sqrt{n}(\theta - \theta_0) \in \cdot \mid X^{(n)})$  satisfies

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\Pi_n^*, N(\mu_n, I_0^{-1})) \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} 0,$$

where  $I_0 = I(\theta_0)$  and  $\mu_n = I_0^{-1} \Delta_{n, \theta_0} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_0^{-1})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $L_0 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be nondecreasing, continuous, nonconstant, and bounded, and define the loss function

$$L(\theta, d) = L_0(\sqrt{n} |d - \theta|).$$

Consider the corresponding risk function  $R_n(\delta, \theta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[L(\theta, \delta)]$ .

(a) (*Localizing.*) Define

$$\delta^* \in \arg \min_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \int L_0(|s - h|) d\Pi_n^*(h).$$

Show that  $\delta_\Pi$  is a Bayes estimator under loss  $L(\theta, d) = L_0(\sqrt{n} |d - \theta|)$  if and only if  $\sqrt{n}(\delta_\Pi - \theta_0) = \delta^*$ .

(b) (*Bayes estimator in the normal experiment.*) Argue that if we had access to the oracle posterior  $N(\theta_0 + \mu_n/\sqrt{n}, (nI_0)^{-1})$ , our corresponding Bayes estimator would be the oracle estimator  $\theta_0 + I_0^{-1} \Delta_{n, \theta_0}/\sqrt{n}$ .

*Hint:* Suppose  $H \sim N(\mu, I_0^{-1})$ . Show that the map  $s \mapsto \mathbb{E}[L_0(|s - H|)]$  is minimized at  $s = \mu$ .

(c) (*Argmin convergence.*) Define the rescaled posterior risk  $R_n^*(s) = \int L_0(|s - h|) d\Pi_n^*(h)$  and the oracle posterior risk  $\tilde{R}_n^*(s) = \int L_0(|s - h|) dN(\mu_n, I_0^{-1})(h) = g(s - \mu_n)$ .

(i) Show that  $\sup_s |R_n^*(s) - \tilde{R}_n^*(s)| \leq 2\|L_0\|_\infty d_{\text{TV}}(\Pi_n^*, N(\mu_n, I_0^{-1}))$ .

(ii) Use the earlier questions to show that  $\delta^* - \mu_n \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} 0$ .

(iii) Conclude that  $\sqrt{n}(\delta_\Pi - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_0^{-1})$  under  $P_{\theta_0}$ .

(d) (*The posterior mean.*) The squared error loss  $L(\theta, d) = n(d - \theta)^2$  (equivalently,  $L_0(u) = u^2$ ) is unbounded, so part (b) does not apply directly. Let  $\bar{\delta}^* = \int h d\Pi_n^*(h)$  denote the rescaled posterior mean. Assuming that the rescaled posterior second moment satisfies  $\int h^2 d\Pi_n^*(h) = O_{P_{\theta_0}}(1)$ , show that  $\bar{\delta}^* - \mu_n \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} 0$  and hence that the posterior mean is also asymptotically efficient. (*Hint:* For  $M > 0$ , split the integral at  $|h| = M$ . Use the bound  $|\int f d(Q_1 - Q_2)| \leq 2\|f\|_\infty d_{\text{TV}}(Q_1, Q_2)$  for the bounded part, and Cauchy-Schwarz for the tail.)